

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/538,639	06/10/2005	Gunter Hoelzemann	MERCK-3020	5419	
23599	23599 7590 11/20/2006		EXAMINER		
MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C.			YOUNG, SI	YOUNG, SHAWQUIA	
2200 CLARENDON BLVD. SUITE 1400		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ARLINGTON, VA 22201			1626		
			DATE MAILED: 11/20/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/538,639	HOELZEMANN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Shawquia Young	1626			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)[汉]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 S</u>	entember 2006				
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
٠,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-7,9,10,14 and 15 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) 9,10,14 and 15 is/are rejected.					
7)🛛	Claim(s) <u>4-6</u> is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers	•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		·				
Attachmen	t(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
	i) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) ☑ Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/31/2006. 6) ☑ Other:					
		٠, ت ٠٠٠٠٠٠				

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-7, 9, 10, 14 and 15 are currently pending in the instant application.

In response to the Non-final rejection office action mailed on May 31, 2006, Applicants amended claims 1-7, 9-10, 14, and 15. Claims 8,11,12, and 13 were cancelled.

I. Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on August 31, 2006 has been considered by the examiner. See Applicant's copies of the 1449.4

II. Rejection(s)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 9, 10, 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

In <u>In re Wands</u>, 8 USPQ2d 1400 (1988), factors to be considered in determining whether a disclosure meets the enablement requirement of 35 U.S.C. § 112, first

paragraph, have been described. They are:

1. the nature of the invention,

- 2. the state of the prior art,
- 3. the predictability or lack thereof in the art,
- 4. the amount of direction or guidance present,
- 5. the presence or absence of working examples,
- 6. the breadth of the claims,
- 7. the quantity of experimentation needed, and
- 8. the level of the skill in the art.

The nature of the invention

Applicants are claiming methods for inhibiting serotonin uptake, achieving a 5HT1A antagonistic effect, treatment of illnesses influenced by the binding to 5-HT receptors, treatment of illnesses of the central nervous system. See, for example, instant claims 9, 10, 14 and 15. Further, Applicants fail to identify diseases or disorders that can be treated by inhibiting serotonin uptake, achieving a 5HT1A antagonistic effect, binding to 5-HT receptors. From the reading of the specification, it appears that Applicants are asserting that the embraced compounds, because of their mode of action which involves the inhibition of serotonin receptors, would be useful for treating numerous diseases and disorders such as schizophrenia and psychotic anxiety states.

The state of the prior art and the predictability or lack thereof in the art

The state of the prior art is that the treatment of illnesses of the central nervous system, for example, remains highly unpredictable. The various types of illnesses and

disorders of the central nervous system have different causative agents, involve different cellular mechanisms, and consequently, differ in treatment protocol. According to Magnus et al., diseases that affect the central nervous system include Alzheimer's disease, Parkinson's disease, and multiple sclerosis. It is the state of the art that there is no known cure or prevention for Alzheimer's disease and that there are only four medications available in the United States available to temporarily slow the early stages of Alzheimer's disease. The current drugs for the treatment of Alzheimer disease, Aricept, Exelon, Reminyl and Cognex, treat early stages of Alzheimer's disease by delaying the breakdown of acetylcholine. Memantine, which blocks excess amounts of glutamate treats late stage Alzheimer's disease.

(<URL:http://www.cnn.com/2003/HEALTH/conditions/09/24/alzheimers.drug.ap/index.ht ml>.)

In addition, Layzer, Cecil Textbook of Medicine (article enclosed), states that "some degenerative diseases are difficult to classify because they involve multiple anatomic locations" (see page 2050). Alzheimer's disease has traditionally been very difficult or impossible to prevent or even to treat effectively with chemotherapeutic agents (See e.g., the Cecil Textbood of Medicine, 20th edition (1996), Vol. 2, page 1994). There is no absolute predictability even in view of the seemingly high level of skill in the art. The existence of these obstacles establishes that the contemporary knowledge in the art would prevent one of ordinary skill in the art from accepting any therapeutic regimen on its face.

The amount of direction or guidance present and the presence or absence of working examples

There is no evidence of record, which would enable the skilled artisan in the identification of the people who have the potential of becoming afflicted with the numerous diseases or disorders claimed herein. That a single class of compounds can be used to treat all illnesses influenced by the binding to the 5-HT receptors or illnesses of the central nervous system. Applicants have not provided any competent evidence or disclosed tests that are highly predictive for the pharmaceutical use for treating or preventing any or all conditions by administering the instant claimed compounds.

The breadth of the claims

The breadth of the claims is inhibiting serotonin uptake, achieving a 5HT1A antagonistic effect, treatment of illnesses influenced by the binding to 5-HT receptors, and treatment of illnesses of the central nervous system, generically embraced in the claim language.

The quantity of experimentation needed

The nature of the pharmaceutical arts is that it involves screening <u>in vitro</u> and <u>in vivo</u> to determine which compounds exhibit the desired pharmacological activities for each of the diseases and disorders instantly claimed. The quantity of experimentation needed would be undue when faced with the lack of direction and guidance present in the instant specification in regards to testing all diseases and disorders generically embraced in the claim language, and when faced with the unpredictability of the pharmaceutical art. Thus, factors such as "sufficient working examples", "the level of

skill in the art" and predictability, etc. have been demonstrated to be sufficiently lacking in the instant case for the instant method claims.

The level of the skill in the art

Even though the level of skill in the pharmaceutical art is very high, based on the unpredictable nature of the invention and state of the prior art and lack of guidance and direction, one skilled in the art could not use the claimed invention without undue experimentation.

III. Objections

Claim Objections

Claims 4 and 5 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claim 1. When two or more claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Claim 6 is objected to because of the following informalities: A hydrogen is missing on the N atom in the alkyl chain in claim 6. Appropriate correction is required.

IV. Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shawquia Young whose telephone number is 571-272-9043. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 AM-3:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph M²Kane can be reached on 571-272-0699. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free MALA. SAEED, PH.D.

Shawquia Young
Patent Examiner

Patent Examiner
Art Unit 1626, Group 1620
Technology Center 1600

Joseph M[⊆]Kane

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Supervisory Patent Examiner Art Unit 1626, Group 1620 Technology Center 1600